

State of New Hampshire

# MANUAL

for the

## GENERAL COURT

1973

No. 43

PREPARED AND PUBLISHED BY THE  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ROBERT L. STARK  
SECRETARY OF STATE

CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

1973

SENATORIAL District No. 4							
September 12, 1972	Eaves, r	Gardner, r	Martin, r	Talor, d	Gardner, d	Martin, d	Scattering, d
Alton . . . . .	3	335	224	37	—	—	—
Barnstead . . . . .	8	137	114	58	6	6	—
Brookfield . . . . .	8	20	85	5	—	1	—
Farmington . . . . .	44	221	155	75	—	—	—
Gilford . . . . .	4	466	258	75	3	6	1
Gilmanton . . . . .	2	203	169	35	—	—	—
Laconia —							
Ward 1 . . . . .	5	229	220	49	5	6	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	1	125	97	187	5	3	1
Ward 3 . . . . .	1	120	75	31	—	4	—
Ward 4 . . . . .	2	198	181	91	—	—	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	1	171	95	106	—	—	1
Ward 6 . . . . .	6	338	206	111	—	—	—
Middleton . . . . .	9	2	7	7	—	—	—
Milton . . . . .	60	35	85	35	—	—	—
New Durham . . . . .	11	63	40	19	—	—	—
Pittsfield . . . . .	6	239	85	46	—	6	3
Totals . . . . .	171	2902	2096	967	19	32	6

SENATORIAL District No. 5								
September 12, 1972	Bradley, r	Ellms, r	Maine, r	Scattering, r	Guest, d	Bradley, d	Ellms, d	Scattering, d
Alexandria . . . . .	13	5	50	—	13	—	—	—
Andover . . . . .	86	17	35	—	36	—	—	—
Bridgewater . . . . .	24	5	54	—	13	—	—	—
Bristol . . . . .	110	19	188	1	24	—	—	1
Canaan . . . . .	65	142	17	—	57	—	9	—
Danbury . . . . .	11	12	45	—	14	—	—	—
Dorchester . . . . .	10	32	9	—	2	—	—	—
Enfield . . . . .	96	135	16	—	76	—	3	2
Grafton . . . . .	11	49	17	—	7	—	—	—
Grantham . . . . .	21	11	6	—	3	—	—	—
Groton . . . . .	9	4	10	—	12	—	—	—
Hanover . . . . .	747	77	28	—	534	1	1	—
Hebron . . . . .	28	3	40	—	8	—	—	—
Hill . . . . .	9	3	47	—	3	—	—	—
Lebanon —								
Ward 1 . . . . .	231	68	23	1	89	1	—	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	135	55	12	3	122	—	3	1
Ward 3 . . . . .	250	120	22	2	102	—	—	—
Lyme . . . . .	122	40	14	—	54	1	—	—
Orange . . . . .	11	16	2	—	12	—	—	—
Orford . . . . .	99	29	66	—	19	—	—	—
Piermont . . . . .	53	8	11	—	15	—	—	—
Plainfield . . . . .	131	30	3	—	37	—	—	—
Rumney . . . . .	71	12	45	2	5	—	—	—
Warren . . . . .	67	26	35	—	11	—	—	—
Wentworth . . . . .	42	15	22	—	7	—	—	—
Totals . . . . .	2452	933	817	9	1275	3	17	4

SENATORIAL District No. 6									
September 12, 1972	Green, r	Smith, r	Marotte, r	Scattering, r	Levesque, d	Marcotte, r	Green, d	Smith, d	Scattering, d
Barrington . . . . .	178	120	—	—	55	44	—	—	—
Rochester —									
Ward 1 . . . . .	157	94	—	1	64	31	—	10	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	259	166	—	—	124	51	4	2	1
Ward 3 . . . . .	132	73	—	—	129	41	2	1	—
Ward 4 . . . . .	154	129	—	—	215	138	—	—	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	195	208	—	1	88	54	—	2	—
Rollinsford . . . . .	74	54	1	—	39	193	1	2	2
Somersworth —									
Ward 1 . . . . .	35	12	—	—	15	78	—	—	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	59	39	—	—	71	158	4	—	—
Ward 3 . . . . .	48	46	—	—	54	244	—	—	—
Ward 4 . . . . .	23	10	—	—	38	235	—	—	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	6	10	—	—	20	158	—	—	—
Totals . . . . .	1320	961	1	2	912	1425	11	17	3

SENATORIAL District No. 7	Jacobson, r	Sterling, r	Kulacz, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972				
Antrim . . . . .	108	166	30	—
Bennington . . . . .	58	66	25	—
Boscawen . . . . .	164	94	40	2
Bradford . . . . .	133	43	19	—
Deering . . . . .	32	38	10	—
Francestown . . . . .	74	68	19	—
Franklin —				
Ward 1 . . . . .	134	25	82	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	68	25	93	1
Ward 3 . . . . .	187	49	107	—
Greenfield . . . . .	77	37	25	1
Henniker . . . . .	151	203	56	2
Hillsborough . . . . .	203	301	60	—
Hopkinton . . . . .	484	251	78	—
Newbury . . . . .	113	28	17	—
New London . . . . .	613	56	58	4
Salisbury . . . . .	56	23	8	—
Sutton . . . . .	112	27	21	—
Warner . . . . .	250	128	35	—
Webster . . . . .	53	28	13	—
Wilmot . . . . .	78	12	15	2
Windsor . . . . .	9	10	2	—
Totals . . . . .	3157	1678	813	12

SENATORIAL District No. 8					
September 12, 1972	Clarke, r	Spanos, r	Scattering, r	Spanos, d	Scattering, d
Acworth . . . . .	67	—	—	8	1
Charlestown . . . . .	276	—	2	71	—
Claremont —					
Ward 1 . . . . .	243	—	—	209	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	525	—	—	249	—
Ward 3 . . . . .	157	—	—	374	—
Cornish . . . . .	181	—	—	43	1
Croydon . . . . .	47	8	—	23	—
Goshen . . . . .	64	4	—	21	—
Langdon . . . . .	42	—	1	3	—
Lempster . . . . .	34	—	—	13	—
Newport . . . . .	444	—	—	402	1
Springfield . . . . .	56	—	—	16	—
Sunapee . . . . .	263	4	1	53	—
Unity . . . . .	35	—	—	31	—
Washington . . . . .	45	—	—	12	—
Totals . . . . .	2479	16	4	1528	3

SENATORIAL District No. 9  September 12, 1972	Monier, r	Nixon, r	Ducharme, d	Nixon, d	Monier, d	Scattering, r	
	Bedford . . . . .	597	482	259	15	20	—
Bow . . . . .	156	409	44	—	—	—	—
Dunbarton . . . . .	103	93	36	—	—	—	—
Goffstown . . . . .	685	567	717	—	—	—	—
Litchfield . . . . .	38	87	58	—	—	—	—
Merrimack . . . . .	244	384	190	—	—	—	1
New Boston . . . . .	61	238	42	19	2	—	—
Weare . . . . .	173	210	65	9	4	2	—
Totals . . . . .	2057	2470	1411	43	26	2	1

SENATORIAL District No. 10									
September 12, 1972	Moran, r	Blaisdell, r	Mallot, Jr., r	Shortlidge, r	Scattering, r	Blaisdell, d	Mallot, Jr., d	Shortlidge, d	Scattering, d
Alstead . . . . .	143	1	—	1	1	11	15	17	—
Chesterfield . . . . .	176	1	—	—	—	13	37	21	—
Gilsum . . . . .	37	—	—	—	—	3	9	11	—
Keene —									
Ward 1 . . . . .	232	9	3	—	2	98	112	53	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	304	9	—	—	—	68	79	51	—
Ward 3 . . . . .	297	2	1	1	1	52	39	42	1
Ward 4 . . . . .	539	29	4	7	—	94	54	95	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	324	13	—	—	—	101	90	56	—
Marlow . . . . .	36	—	—	—	—	8	3	5	1
Nelson . . . . .	58	—	—	2	3	5	3	4	—
Roxbury . . . . .	18	—	—	—	—	1	—	1	—
Stoddard . . . . .	47	—	—	—	—	3	5	2	—
Sullivan . . . . .	63	—	—	—	—	8	9	5	—
Surry . . . . .	52	—	1	—	—	5	7	3	—
Walpole . . . . .	290	—	—	—	—	34	30	24	—
Westmoreland . . . . .	112	—	—	1	2	5	11	11	—
Totals . . . . .	2728	64	9	12	9	509	503	401	2



SENATORIAL District No. 11	Felton, Jr., r	LaClair, r	Trowbridge, r	Scattering, r	Bliss, d	Trowbridge, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972							
Dublin . . . . .	25	3	170	—	40	—	—
Fitzwilliam . . . . .	39	22	131	—	12	—	—
Greenville . . . . .	16	28	55	—	110	—	—
Hancock . . . . .	25	19	207	—	28	1	—
Harrisville . . . . .	16	7	46	—	26	3	—
Hinsdale . . . . .	83	20	64	—	34	—	—
Jaffrey . . . . .	109	43	228	11	128	—	8
Marlborough . . . . .	36	9	134	—	61	—	—
Mason . . . . .	14	17	41	—	16	—	—
New Ipswich . . . . .	40	33	110	—	76	2	1
Peterborough . . . . .	125	72	772	2	155	—	—
Richmond . . . . .	25	—	29	—	12	—	—
Rindge . . . . .	21	24	119	—	44	—	—
Sharon . . . . .	5	2	45	—	6	—	—
Swanzy . . . . .	217	20	212	—	101	—	5
Temple . . . . .	37	22	73	—	17	—	—
Troy . . . . .	23	1	35	—	44	—	—
Winchester . . . . .	42	8	97	—	43	—	1
Totals . . . . .	898	350	2568	13	953	6	15

SENATORIAL District No. 12	Porter, r	Santinelli, r	Santinelli, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972				
Amherst . . . . .	835	—	96	—
Brookline . . . . .	185	—	52	2
Hollis . . . . .	409	—	63	—
Lyndeborough . . . . .	122	—	14	—
Milford . . . . .	781	2	344	3
Mont Vernon . . . . .	151	—	29	—
Nashua —				
Ward 1 . . . . .	315	—	125	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	527	—	109	—
Wilton . . . . .	259	—	91	—
Totals . . . . .	3584	2	923	5

SENATORIAL District No. 13  September 12, 1972	Davidson, r	Scattering, r	McLaughlin, d	Davidson, d
Nashua —				
Ward 4 . . . . .	105	—	289	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	91	1	243	—
Ward 6 . . . . .	151	—	336	—
Ward 8 . . . . .	271	—	264	—
Ward 9 . . . . .	168	—	141	1
Totals . . . . .	786	1	1273	1

SENATORIAL District No. 14									
September 12, 1972	Keeney, r	Rodgers, r	Claneau, r	LaRose, r	Claneau, d	LaRose, d	Keeney, d	Rodgers, d	Scattering, d
Hudson . . . . .	487	239	2	1	505	209	2	7	3
Londonderry . . . . .	279	174	2	—	93	46	—	—	—
Nashua —									
Ward 3 . . . . .	121	133	—	1	134	161	—	—	—
Ward 7 . . . . .	63	82	—	—	217	297	—	—	—
Totals . . . . .	950	628	4	2	949	713	2	7	3

SENATORIAL District No. 15					
September 12, 1972	Smith, r	Harrison, r	Scattering, r	Harrison, d	Scattering, d
Concord —					
Ward 1 . . . . .	369	—	—	140	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	642	3	1	142	—
Ward 3 . . . . .	537	—	1	75	—
Ward 4 . . . . .	506	4	—	131	—
Ward 5 . . . . .	456	2	1	85	—
Ward 6 . . . . .	358	1	—	187	4
Ward 7 . . . . .	753	—	—	119	—
Ward 8 . . . . .	651	—	—	169	2
Totals . . . . .	4272	10	3	1048	6

SENATORIAL District No. 16  September 12, 1972	Ferdinando, r	Scattering, r	Onigman, d	Ferdinando, d	Scattering, d
Allenstown . . . . .	121	—	212	—	—
Chichester . . . . .	126	—	10	—	—
Epsom . . . . .	190	—	37	—	2
Hooksett . . . . .	537	—	256	—	—
Loudon . . . . .	134	—	39	—	—
Manchester —					
Ward 1 . . . . .	1183	—	506	11	—
Ward 2 . . . . .	924	6	722	2	—
Pembroke . . . . .	437	—	190	—	—
Totals . . . . .	<u>3652</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1972</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>2</u>

SENATORIAL District No. 17	Gorman, Sr., r	Greenwood, r	Sanborn, r	Stimmell, r	Pinard, r	Scattering, r
September 12, 1972						
Auburn . . . . .	17	72	110	17	1	—
Brentwood . . . . .	15	74	80	12	—	—
Candia . . . . .	17	99	221	28	—	—
Deerfield . . . . .	4	59	182	32	—	1
Epping . . . . .	14	123	48	44	—	—
Fremont . . . . .	10	65	101	2	—	—
Manchester —						
Ward 4 . . . . .	107	115	166	38	1	4
Ward 6 . . . . .	61	152	208	24	8	2
Northwood . . . . .	5	26	58	229	—	—
Nottingham . . . . .	3	44	43	48	—	—
Raymond . . . . .	47	280	76	39	—	—
Strafford . . . . .	3	18	35	124	—	—
Totals . . . . .	303	1127	1328	637	9	7

SENATORIAL District No. 17					
September 12, 1972	Lamy, d	Pinard, d	Greenwood, d	Sanborn, d	Scattering, d
Auburn . . . . .	47	66	—	—	4
Brentwood . . . . .	13	12	1	—	—
Candia . . . . .	19	32	—	1	—
Deerfield . . . . .	25	13	2	13	—
Epping . . . . .	42	49	19	—	—
Fremont . . . . .	15	15	—	5	—
Manchester —					
Ward 4 . . . . .	417	459	—	—	11
Ward 6 . . . . .	294	684	—	—	—
Northwood . . . . .	15	16	—	—	—
Nottingham . . . . .	7	17	1	—	—
Raymond . . . . .	51	73	17	—	—
Strafford . . . . .	7	10	—	—	1
Totals . . . . .	952	1446	40	19	16



SENATORIAL District No. 18						
September 12, 1972	Provost, r	McCarthy, r	Scattering, r	Horan, d	McCarthy, d	Provost, d
Manchester —						
Ward 5 . . . . .	—	16	26	130	500	540
Ward 7 . . . . .	15	—	—	116	449	794
Ward 8 . . . . .	16	7	23	85	357	535
Ward 9 . . . . .	26	—	—	127	356	857
Totals . . . . .	57	23	49	458	1662	2726