

State of New Hampshire

MANUAL

for the

GENERAL COURT

1973

No. 43

PREPARED AND PUBLISHED BY THE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

ROBERT L. STARK
SECRETARY OF STATE

CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

1973

SENATORIAL District No. 1						
September 12, 1972	Guay, r	Lamontagne, r	Scattering, r	Guay, d	Lamontagne, d	Scattering, d
Atk. & Gil. Ac. Gt. .	—	—	—	—	—	—
Berlin —						
Ward 1	30	70	—	126	429	—
Ward 2	15	114	3	107	437	—
Ward 3	22	143	—	68	279	—
Ward 4	12	13	—	243	509	—
Cambridge	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carroll	—	7	2	5	43	—
Clarksville	—	—	—	2	—	—
Colebrook	—	—	—	16	28	—
Columbia	—	—	1	2	4	—
Dix's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dixville	—	—	—	3	3	—
Dummer	4	—	—	3	2	—
Errol	2	3	—	2	1	—
Erving's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gorham	25	52	—	51	121	—
Jefferson	—	—	—	5	7	—
Kilkenny	—	—	—	—	—	—
Low & Burbnk's Gt.	—	—	—	—	—	—
Milan	4	15	—	9	20	—
Millsfield	—	—	—	—	—	—
Northumberland	8	—	6	92	148	1
Odell	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pittsburg	—	1	4	3	11	1
Randolph	1	8	—	3	8	—
Second College Gt.	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shelburne	1	3	1	3	6	—
Stark	—	—	2	1	13	—
Stewartstown	—	1	2	4	6	—
Stratford	2	3	4	13	17	—
Success	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wentworth's Loc.	—	—	—	—	1	—
Totals	126	433	24	761	2093	2

SENATORIAL District No. 2							
September 12, 1972	Paine, II, r	Paulsen, r	Scattering, r	Ranco, d	Paine, d	Paulsen, d	Scattering, d
Albany	32	12	—	7	—	—	—
Bartlett	213	80	—	15	4	—	—
Bath	72	140	—	4	—	1	—
Bean's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Bean's Purchase	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Benton	2	22	—	—	—	—	—
Bethlehem	28	213	—	40	—	1	—
Chandler's Purchase	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Chatham	15	2	—	6	—	—	—
Conway	995	221	1	88	7	—	4
Crawford's Purchase	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cutt's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dalton	21	52	—	21	—	—	—
Easton	—	31	—	3	—	—	1
Eaton	63	4	—	16	—	—	—
Effingham	83	11	—	7	1	—	—
Franconia	11	111	—	24	—	—	1
Freedom	82	25	—	7	—	—	—
Green's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hadley's Purchase	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hale's Location	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hart's Location	5	2	—	2	1	—	—
Haverhill	83	565	—	50	—	5	3
Jackson	79	24	—	17	—	—	—
Lancaster	66	372	—	48	—	—	1
Landaff	3	38	—	1	—	1	—
Lincoln	23	68	—	44	—	—	—
Lisbon	15	212	—	26	—	—	—
Littleton	236	942	—	185	1	21	1
Livermore	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Lyman	3	29	—	8	—	2	—
Madison	128	22	—	7	—	—	—
Martin's Location	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Monroe	9	107	—	5	—	1	—
Pinkham's Grant	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sargent's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sugar Hill	24	78	—	6	—	1	1
Tamworth	160	45	—	38	—	—	—
T'son & Mes's Pur.	3	—	—	—	—	—	—
Whitefield	32	234	—	30	—	—	2
Woodstock	29	100	—	25	1	1	1
Totals	2516	3762	1	730	15	34	15

SENATORIAL District No. 3								
September 12, 1972	Duchano, r	Smith, r	Scattering, r	Smith, d	Duchano, d	Gardner, d	Martin, d	Scattering, d
Ashland	127	144	—	—	—	—	—	—
Belmont	88	216	—	—	—	7	2	—
Campton	124	104	—	1	3	—	—	1
Canterbury	59	110	—	1	1	—	—	1
Center Harbor	46	81	—	—	—	—	—	1
Ellsworth	7	1	—	—	—	—	—	—
Holderness	108	194	—	3	2	—	—	2
Meredith	236	300	—	—	—	—	—	—
Moultonborough	251	188	—	—	—	—	—	—
New Hampton	95	99	—	—	—	—	—	—
Northfield	162	94	—	9	8	—	—	1
Ossipee	159	145	—	—	1	—	—	2
Plymouth	211	547	—	33	6	—	—	4
Sanbornton	49	113	—	3	—	—	—	2
Sandwich	74	157	2	—	—	—	—	—
Thornton	73	71	—	1	2	—	—	—
Tilton	144	177	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tuftonboro	153	205	—	2	—	—	—	—
Wakefield	266	203	—	—	22	—	—	—
Waterville Valley	2	31	—	2	—	—	—	—
Wolfboro	261	457	—	6	11	—	—	—
Totals	2695	3637	2	61	56	7	2	14

SENATORIAL District No. 4							
September 12, 1972	Eaves, r	Gardner, r	Martin, r	Talor, d	Gardner, d	Martin, d	Scattering, d
Alton	3	335	224	37	—	—	—
Barnstead	8	137	114	58	6	6	—
Brookfield	8	20	85	5	—	1	—
Farmington	44	221	155	75	—	—	—
Gilford	4	466	258	75	3	6	1
Gilmanton	2	203	169	35	—	—	—
Laconia —							
Ward 1	5	229	220	49	5	6	—
Ward 2	1	125	97	187	5	3	1
Ward 3	1	120	75	31	—	4	—
Ward 4	2	198	181	91	—	—	—
Ward 5	1	171	95	106	—	—	1
Ward 6	6	338	206	111	—	—	—
Middleton	9	2	7	7	—	—	—
Milton	60	35	85	35	—	—	—
New Durham	11	63	40	19	—	—	—
Pittsfield	6	239	85	46	—	6	3
Totals	171	2902	2096	967	19	32	6

SENATORIAL District No. 5								
September 12, 1972	Bradley, r	Ellms, r	Maine, r	Scattering, r	Guest, d	Bradley, d	Ellms, d	Scattering, d
Alexandria	13	5	50	—	13	—	—	—
Andover	86	17	35	—	36	—	—	—
Bridgewater	24	5	54	—	13	—	—	—
Bristol	110	19	188	1	24	—	—	1
Canaan	65	142	17	—	57	—	9	—
Danbury	11	12	45	—	14	—	—	—
Dorchester	10	32	9	—	2	—	—	—
Enfield	96	135	16	—	76	—	3	2
Grafton	11	49	17	—	7	—	—	—
Grantham	21	11	6	—	3	—	—	—
Groton	9	4	10	—	12	—	—	—
Hanover	747	77	28	—	534	1	1	—
Hebron	28	3	40	—	8	—	—	—
Hill	9	3	47	—	3	—	—	—
Lebanon —								
Ward 1	231	68	23	1	89	1	—	—
Ward 2	135	55	12	3	122	—	3	1
Ward 3	250	120	22	2	102	—	—	—
Lyme	122	40	14	—	54	1	—	—
Orange	11	16	2	—	12	—	—	—
Orford	99	29	66	—	19	—	—	—
Piermont	53	8	11	—	15	—	—	—
Plainfield	131	30	3	—	37	—	—	—
Rumney	71	12	45	2	5	—	—	—
Warren	67	26	35	—	11	—	—	—
Wentworth	42	15	22	—	7	—	—	—
Totals	2452	933	817	9	1275	3	17	4

SENATORIAL District No. 6									
September 12, 1972	Green, r	Smith, r	Marotte, r	Scattering, r	Levesque, d	Marcotte, r	Green, d	Smith, d	Scattering, d
Barrington	178	120	—	—	55	44	—	—	—
Rochester —									
Ward 1	157	94	—	1	64	31	—	10	—
Ward 2	259	166	—	—	124	51	4	2	1
Ward 3	132	73	—	—	129	41	2	1	—
Ward 4	154	129	—	—	215	138	—	—	—
Ward 5	195	208	—	1	88	54	—	2	—
Rollinsford	74	54	1	—	39	193	1	2	2
Somersworth —									
Ward 1	35	12	—	—	15	78	—	—	—
Ward 2	59	39	—	—	71	158	4	—	—
Ward 3	48	46	—	—	54	244	—	—	—
Ward 4	23	10	—	—	38	235	—	—	—
Ward 5	6	10	—	—	20	158	—	—	—
Totals	1320	961	1	2	912	1425	11	17	3

SENATORIAL District No. 7	Jacobson, r	Sterling, r	Kulacz, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972				
Antrim	108	166	30	—
Bennington	58	66	25	—
Boscawen	164	94	40	2
Bradford	133	43	19	—
Deering	32	38	10	—
Francestown	74	68	19	—
Franklin —				
Ward 1	134	25	82	—
Ward 2	68	25	93	1
Ward 3	187	49	107	—
Greenfield	77	37	25	1
Henniker	151	203	56	2
Hillsborough	203	301	60	—
Hopkinton	484	251	78	—
Newbury	113	28	17	—
New London	613	56	58	4
Salisbury	56	23	8	—
Sutton	112	27	21	—
Warner	250	128	35	—
Webster	53	28	13	—
Wilmot	78	12	15	2
Windsor	9	10	2	—
Totals	3157	1678	813	12

SENATORIAL District No. 8					
September 12, 1972	Clarke, r	Spanos, r	Scattering, r	Spanos, d	Scattering, d
Acworth	67	—	—	8	1
Charlestown	276	—	2	71	—
Claremont —					
Ward 1	243	—	—	209	—
Ward 2	525	—	—	249	—
Ward 3	157	—	—	374	—
Cornish	181	—	—	43	1
Croydon	47	8	—	23	—
Goshen	64	4	—	21	—
Langdon	42	—	1	3	—
Lempster	34	—	—	13	—
Newport	444	—	—	402	1
Springfield	56	—	—	16	—
Sunapee	263	4	1	53	—
Unity	35	—	—	31	—
Washington	45	—	—	12	—
Totals	2479	16	4	1528	3

SENATORIAL District No. 9							
September 12, 1972	Monier, r	Nixon, r	Ducharme, d	Nixon, d	Monier, d	Scattering, r	
Bedford	597	482	259	15	20	—	—
Bow	156	409	44	—	—	—	—
Dunbarton	103	93	36	—	—	—	—
Goffstown	685	567	717	—	—	—	—
Litchfield	38	87	58	—	—	—	—
Merrimack	244	384	190	—	—	—	1
New Boston	61	238	42	19	2	—	—
Weare	173	210	65	9	4	2	—
Totals	2057	2470	1411	43	26	2	1

SENATORIAL District No. 10										
September 12, 1972		Moran, r	Blaisdell, r	Mallot, Jr., r	Shortlidge, r	Scattering, r	Blaisdell, d	Mallot, Jr., d	Shortlidge, d	Scattering, d
Alstead	143	1	—	—	1	1	11	15	17	—
Chesterfield	176	1	—	—	—	—	13	37	21	—
Gilsum	37	—	—	—	—	—	3	9	11	—
Keene —										
Ward 1	232	9	3	—	—	2	98	112	53	—
Ward 2	304	9	—	—	—	—	68	79	51	—
Ward 3	297	2	1	1	1	1	52	39	42	1
Ward 4	539	29	4	7	—	—	94	54	95	—
Ward 5	324	13	—	—	—	—	101	90	56	—
Marlow	36	—	—	—	—	—	8	3	5	1
Nelson	58	—	—	—	2	3	5	3	4	—
Roxbury	18	—	—	—	—	—	1	—	1	—
Stoddard	47	—	—	—	—	—	3	5	2	—
Sullivan	63	—	—	—	—	—	8	9	5	—
Surry	52	—	1	—	—	—	5	7	3	—
Walpole	290	—	—	—	—	—	34	30	24	—
Westmoreland	112	—	—	—	1	2	5	11	11	—
Totals	2728	64	9	12	9	9	509	503	401	2

SENATORIAL District No. 11	Felton, Jr., r	LaClair, r	Trowbridge, r	Scattering, r	Bliss, d	Trowbridge, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972							
Dublin	25	3	170	—	40	—	—
Fitzwilliam	39	22	131	—	12	—	—
Greenville	16	28	55	—	110	—	—
Hancock	25	19	207	—	28	1	—
Harrisville	16	7	46	—	26	3	—
Hinsdale	83	20	64	—	34	—	—
Jaffrey	109	43	228	11	128	—	8
Marlborough	36	9	134	—	61	—	—
Mason	14	17	41	—	16	—	—
New Ipswich	40	33	110	—	76	2	1
Peterborough	125	72	772	2	155	—	—
Richmond	25	—	29	—	12	—	—
Rindge	21	24	119	—	44	—	—
Sharon	5	2	45	—	6	—	—
Swanzy	217	20	212	—	101	—	5
Temple	37	22	73	—	17	—	—
Troy	23	1	35	—	44	—	—
Winchester	42	8	97	—	43	—	1
Totals	898	350	2568	13	953	6	15

SENATORIAL District No. 12	Porter, r	Santinelli, r	Santinelli, d	Scattering, d
September 12, 1972				
Amherst	835	—	96	—
Brookline	185	—	52	2
Hollis	409	—	63	—
Lyndeborough	122	—	14	—
Milford	781	2	344	3
Mont Vernon	151	—	29	—
Nashua —				
Ward 1	315	—	125	—
Ward 2	527	—	109	—
Wilton	259	—	91	—
Totals	3584	2	923	5

SENATORIAL District No. 13 September 12, 1972	Davidson, r	Scattering, r	McLaughlin, d	Davidson, d
Nashua —				
Ward 4	105	—	289	—
Ward 5	91	1	243	—
Ward 6	151	—	336	—
Ward 8	271	—	264	—
Ward 9	168	—	141	1
Totals	786	1	1273	1

SENATORIAL District No. 14									
September 12, 1972	Keeney, r	Rodgers, r	Claneau, r	LaRose, r	Claneau, d	LaRose, d	Keeney, d	Rodgers, d	Scattering, d
Hudson	487	239	2	1	505	209	2	7	3
Londonderry	279	174	2	—	93	46	—	—	—
Nashua —									
Ward 3	121	133	—	1	134	161	—	—	—
Ward 7	63	82	—	—	217	297	—	—	—
Totals	950	628	4	2	949	713	2	7	3

SENATORIAL District No. 15					
September 12, 1972	Smith, r	Harrison, r	Scattering, r	Harrison, d	Scattering, d
Concord —					
Ward 1	369	—	—	140	—
Ward 2	642	3	1	142	—
Ward 3	537	—	1	75	—
Ward 4	506	4	—	131	—
Ward 5	456	2	1	85	—
Ward 6	358	1	—	187	4
Ward 7	753	—	—	119	—
Ward 8	651	—	—	169	2
Totals	4272	10	3	1048	6

SENATORIAL District No. 16 September 12, 1972	Ferdinando, r	Scattering, r	Onigman, d	Ferdinando, d	Scattering, d
Allenstown	121	—	212	—	—
Chichester	126	—	10	—	—
Epsom	190	—	37	—	2
Hooksett	537	—	256	—	—
Loudon	134	—	39	—	—
Manchester —					
Ward 1	1183	—	506	11	—
Ward 2	924	6	722	2	—
Pembroke	437	—	190	—	—
Totals	3652	6	1972	13	2