

State of New Hampshire

MANUAL

for the

GENERAL COURT

1979

No. 46

PUBLISHED BY THE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

WILLIAM M. GARDNER
SECRETARY OF STATE

ROBERT P. AMBROSE
DEPUTY SECRETARY OF STATE

CONCORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE

1979

SENATE, DIST. NO. 1
DEMOCRATIC

	Blais, d	Croteau, d	Dupont, d	Lamontagne, d	Keough, r	Scatter
At. & Gil. Acad. Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Berlin —						
Ward 1	22	138	27	220	—	—
Ward 2	15	180	23	309	1	—
Ward 3	12	119	23	177	—	—
Ward 4	12	216	18	198	1	—
Cambridge	—	—	—	—	—	—
Carroll	9	6	4	12	—	1
Clarksville	1	—	2	6	—	—
Colebrook	12	4	5	27	—	—
Columbia	—	—	—	5	—	—
Dix's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dixville	1	—	3	3	—	—
Dummer	2	19	2	1	—	—
Errol	1	3	2	3	—	—
Erving's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Gorham	8	59	53	118	6	—
Jefferson	4	4	4	5	—	—
Kilkenny	—	—	—	—	—	—
Low & Burbank's Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Milan	1	21	3	18	1	—
Millsfield	—	—	—	2	—	—
Northumberland	6	91	10	55	—	—
Odell	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pittsburg	2	2	2	9	—	—
Randolph	—	1	2	—	—	—
Second College Grant	—	—	—	—	—	—
Shelburne	—	8	7	10	—	—
Stark	1	8	1	6	—	—
Stewartstown	1	2	1	15	—	—
Stratford	4	11	1	3	—	—
Success	—	—	—	—	—	—
Wentworth's Location	—	—	—	—	—	—
Totals	114	892	193	1203	9	1

SENATE, DIST. NO. 2
 REPUBLICAN

	Aldrich, r	Keating, r	Poulsen, r	Scatter
Albany	6	12	27	—
Bartlett	26	40	117	—
Bath	44	7	95	—
Bean's Grant	—	—	—	—
Bean's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Benton	8	5	12	—
Bethlehem	45	12	151	—
Chandler's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Chatham	2	2	10	—
Conway	155	136	543	3
Crawford's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Cutt's Grant	—	—	—	—
Dalton	19	5	23	—
Easton	10	4	27	—
Eaton	12	12	27	—
Effingham	19	23	54	—
Franconia	47	12	50	—
Freedom	34	21	53	—
Green's Grant	—	—	—	—
Hadley's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Hale's Location	—	—	—	—
Hart's Location	2	3	2	—
Haverhill	148	51	397	—
Jackson	19	16	47	—
Lancaster	71	24	272	—
Landaff	7	—	39	—
Lincoln	26	36	67	—
Lisbon	64	10	161	—
Littleton	145	47	582	—
Livermore	—	—	—	—
Lyman	8	1	35	—
Madison	28	40	120	1
Martin's Location	—	—	—	—
Monroe	12	1	121	—
Pinkham's Grant	—	—	2	—
Sargent's Grant	—	—	—	—
Sugar Hill	67	10	44	—
Tamworth	72	36	94	—
Thompson & Meserve's Pur.	—	—	1	—
Whitefield	39	18	135	1
Woodstock	14	52	37	—
Totals	1149	636	3345	5

SENATE, DIST. NO. 2 DEMOCRATIC				
	Aldrich, r	Keating, r	Poulsen, r	Scatter
Albany	—	—	—	—
Bartlett	—	—	—	—
Bath	—	—	1	—
Bean's Grant	—	—	—	—
Bean's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Benton	—	—	—	—
Bethlehem	—	—	2	—
Chandler's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Chatham	—	—	—	—
Conway	—	—	3	12
Crawford's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Cutt's Grant	—	—	—	—
Dalton	—	—	—	1
Easton	1	—	2	—
Eaton	—	—	—	—
Effingham	—	—	—	3
Franconia	3	—	1	—
Freedom	—	—	—	—
Green's Grant	—	—	—	—
Hadley's Purchase	—	—	—	—
Hale's Location	—	—	—	—
Hart's Location	—	—	—	—
Haverhill	4	—	5	3
Jackson	—	—	—	1
Lancaster	—	—	—	6
Landaff	—	—	—	1
Lincoln	—	—	3	—
Lisbon	—	—	—	—
Littleton	6	1	21	7
Livermore	—	—	—	—
Lyman	—	—	2	—
Madison	—	—	—	—
Martin's Location	—	—	—	—
Monroe	—	—	2	1
Pinkham's Grant	—	—	—	—
Sargent's Grant	—	—	—	—
Sugar Hill	4	—	—	1
Tamworth	—	—	—	—
Thompson & Meserve's Pur.	—	—	—	—
Whitefield	—	—	—	1
Woodstock	—	—	1	4
Totals	18	1	43	41

SENATE, DIST. NO. 3 REPUBLICAN	Conley, r	Taylor, r	Scatter
Ashland	108	121	—
Belmont	132	167	4
Campton	141	99	—
Canterbury	91	66	—
Center Harbor	77	62	—
Ellsworth	13	11	—
Holderness	120	132	—
Meredith	362	288	1
Moultonborough	430	182	—
New Hampton	56	65	—
Northfield	134	78	—
Ossipee	341	68	—
Plymouth	246	315	—
Sanbornton	65	101	—
Sandwich	197	135	2
Thornton	68	65	—
Tilton	178	121	—
Tuftonboro	254	107	—
Wakefield	244	92	—
Waterville Valley	6	19	—
Wolfeboro	478	190	—
Totals	3741	2484	7

SENATE, DIST. NO. 3 DEMOCRATIC	Conley, r	Taylor, r	Scatter
Ashland	—	11	4
Belmont	1	4	8
Campton	—	4	—
Canterbury	—	—	—
Center Harbor	3	6	1
Ellsworth	—	—	—
Holderness	—	—	—
Meredith	5	25	6
Moultonborough	5	5	—
New Hampton	—	2	3
Northfield	—	1	3
Ossipee	1	—	1
Plymouth	4	20	4
Sanbornton	—	8	3
Sandwich	5	—	—
Thornton	—	6	—
Tilton	—	—	1
Tuftonboro	—	3	—
Wakefield	—	—	—
Waterville Valley	3	2	—
Wolfeboro	3	2	—
Totals	27	99	34

SENATE, DIST. NO. 4 REPUBLICAN	Dexter, r	Gardner, r	Parshley, r	Bourgoine, d	Robichaud, d	Scatter
Alton	185	291	68	—	—	—
Barnstead	64	129	16	—	—	—
Brookfield	25	48	25	—	—	—
Farmington	48	126	117	—	—	—
Gilford	294	468	9	—	—	—
Gilmanton	112	156	18	—	—	—
Laonia —						
Ward 1	147	161	6	—	—	—
Ward 2	64	96	—	—	—	1
Ward 3	188	209	6	—	—	—
Ward 4	101	94	1	—	—	—
Ward 5	70	76	3	—	—	—
Ward 6	183	211	13	—	—	—
Middleton	6	8	3	—	—	—
Milton	21	52	73	—	—	—
New Durham	20	58	45	—	—	—
Pittsfield	49	163	10	—	—	—
	<u>1577</u>	<u>2346</u>	<u>413</u>	—	—	<u>1</u>

SENATE, DIST. NO. 4 DEMOCRATIC	Bourgoine, d	Robichaud, d	Dexter, r	Gardner, r	Parshley, r	Scatter
Alton	23	21	6	8	—	2
Barnstead	22	32	1	2	—	—
Brookfield	6	5	—	—	—	—
Farmington	41	66	—	—	—	—
Gilford	52	35	26	10	—	2
Gilmanton	15	29	5	1	—	—
Laonia —						
Ward 1	21	22	12	1	1	—
Ward 2	69	62	18	6	—	1
Ward 3	46	58	24	6	—	—
Ward 4	56	42	15	5	—	2
Ward 5	60	38	—	—	—	—
Ward 6	56	40	20	8	—	—
Middleton	10	8	—	—	—	—
Milton	22	34	—	—	1	—
New Durham	6	4	1	—	—	—
Pittsfield	12	27	—	—	—	—
	<u>517</u>	<u>523</u>	<u>128</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>7</u>

SENATE, DIST. NO. 5 REPUBLICAN	Eaton, r	Hough, r	Solow, d	Scatter
Alexandria	26	23	—	1
Andover	127	39	—	—
Bridgewater	43	35	—	1
Bristol	194	106	—	—
Canaan	95	77	—	—
Danbury	68	17	—	—
Dorchester	25	12	—	—
Enfield	93	110	—	—
Grafton	43	19	—	—
Grantham	43	38	—	—
Groton	10	8	—	—
Hanover	142	366	—	—
Hebron	25	37	—	2
Hill	33	33	—	—
Lebanon —				
Ward 1	99	177	—	1
Ward 2	49	126	1	—
Ward 3	128	198	—	—
Lyme	66	76	—	—
Orange	15	10	—	—
Orford	103	50	—	—
Piermont	32	40	—	—
Plainfield	62	120	—	—
Rumney	94	61	—	—
Warren	67	39	—	—
Wentworth	41	24	—	—
Totals	1723	1841	1	5

SENATE, DIST. NO. 5 DEMOCRATIC	Solow, d	Eaton, r	Hough, r	Scatter
Alexandria	16	—	—	—
Andover	26	—	—	3
Bridgewater	15	—	—	—
Bristol	45	1	—	—
Canaan	45	1	2	1
Danbury	11	—	—	—
Dorchester	4	—	—	—
Enfield	51	—	—	—
Grafton	9	1	—	—
Grantham	27	—	—	1
Groton	15	—	—	—
Hanover	364	—	4	—
Hebron	9	—	—	—
Hill	7	—	—	—
Lebanon —				
Ward 1	58	3	23	—
Ward 2	80	3	4	—
Ward 3	60	—	—	—
Lyme	47	—	2	—
Orange	9	—	—	—
Orford	23	—	—	1
Piermont	14	—	—	—
Plainfield	40	—	2	—
Rumney	16	—	—	—
Warren	13	—	—	—
Wentworth	8	—	—	—
Totals	1012	9	37	6

SENATE, DIST. NO. 6 REPUBLICAN	Cross, r	Bergeron, d	Scatter
Barrington	202	—	—
Rochester —			
Ward 1	181	—	2
Ward 2	202	5	—
Ward 3	116	4	1
Ward 4	125	2	—
Ward 5	162	7	—
Rollinsford	84	—	—
Somersworth —			
Ward 1	56	—	—
Ward 2	26	—	—
Ward 3	36	—	—
Ward 4	38	1	—
Ward 5	22	—	—
Totals	1250	19	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 6 DEMOCRATIC	Bergeron, d	Cross, r	Scatter
Barrington	96	—	—
Rochester —			
Ward 1	124	—	—
Ward 2	157	—	—
Ward 3	203	—	1
Ward 4	285	—	—
Ward 5	113	—	—
Rollinsford	130	—	—
Somersworth —			
Ward 1	135	—	—
Ward 2	123	—	—
Ward 3	113	—	2
Ward 4	146	—	—
Ward 5	140	—	—
Totals	1765	—	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 7 REPUBLICAN	Chandler, r	Corson, r	Sylvia, r	Allen, d	Scatter
Antrim	95	58	84	—	—
Bennington	63	12	29	—	—
Boscawen	119	127	21	—	—
Bradford	106	59	17	—	—
Deering	44	34	32	—	—
Francestown	80	26	43	—	1
Franklin —					
Ward 1	98	50	13	—	—
Ward 2	72	49	8	—	—
Ward 3	89	76	25	—	—
Greenfield	55	33	19	—	—
Henniker	174	109	18	1	3
Hillsborough	128	142	146	—	—
Hopkinton	207	596	13	—	7
Newbury	72	44	32	—	—
New London	458	262	76	3	—
Salisbury	45	30	3	—	—
Sutton	83	47	9	—	—
Warner	208	133	11	—	1
Webster	57	78	2	—	—
Wilmot	53	30	2	—	—
Windsor	13	3	1	—	—
Totals	2319	1998	604	4	13

SENATE, DIST. NO. 7 DEMOCRATIC	Allen, d	Chandler, r	Corson, r	Sylvia, r	Scatter
Antrim	40	—	—	—	—
Bennington	15	—	—	—	—
Boscawen	83	1	2	—	1
Bradford	28	—	—	—	—
Deering	20	—	—	—	—
Francestown	10	1	1	—	—
Franklin —					
Ward 1	101	—	—	—	—
Ward 2	102	—	—	—	—
Ward 3	68	—	—	—	—
Greenfield	21	—	—	—	2
Henniker	71	2	6	—	1
Hillsborough	53	—	5	8	—
Hopkinton	76	3	2	—	—
Newbury	20	—	—	—	—
New London	61	—	—	—	1
Salisbury	15	—	—	—	—
Sutton	35	1	—	—	—
Warner	57	1	1	—	—
Webster	12	—	2	—	—
Wilmot	32	—	1	—	—
Windsor	6	—	—	1	—
Totals	926	9	20	9	5

SENATE, DIST. NO. 8 REPUBLICAN	Saggiotes, r	Scatter
Acworth	113	3
Charlestown	236	—
Claremont—		
Ward 1	138	—
Ward 2	349	—
Ward 3	124	—
Cornish	104	1
Croydon	40	—
Goshen	67	—
Langdon	40	—
Lempster	102	—
Newport	348	2
Springfield	72	—
Sunapee	260	2
Unity	41	1
Washington	70	—
Totals	<u>2104</u>	<u>9</u>

SENATE, DIST. NO. 8 DEMOCRATIC	Saggiotes, r	Spanos, d	Scatter
Acworth	4	—	—
Charlestown	—	—	—
Claremont—			
Ward 1	—	3	3
Ward 2	—	6	5
Ward 3	2	1	2
Cornish	—	1	—
Croydon	—	—	—
Goshen	1	4	1
Langdon	—	—	—
Lempster	—	1	—
Newport	16	12	11
Springfield	1	—	—
Sunapee	—	—	—
Unity	—	—	2
Washington	—	—	1
Totals	<u>24</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>

SENATE, DIST. NO. 9 REPUBLICAN	Monier, r	Scatter
Bedford Bow Dunbarton Goffstown Litchfield Merrimack New Boston Weare Totals	736 408 137 717 81 588 178 270 <hr/> 3115	5 — 2 — 1 6 10 2 <hr/> 26

SENATE, DIST. NO. 9 DEMOCRATIC	Branch, d	Monier, r	Scatter
Bedford Bow Dunbarton Goffstown Litchfield Merrimack New Boston Weare Totals	221 71 34 502 68 283 55 52 <hr/> 1286	2 — 1 — — — 2 1 <hr/> 6	— — — — — 5 — — <hr/> 5

SENATE, DIST. NO. 10 REPUBLICAN	Bradshaw, r	Blaisdell, d	Scatter
Alstead	22	2	—
Chesterfield	176	—	2
Gilsum	37	3	—
Keene—			
Ward 1	217	1	3
Ward 2	252	—	—
Ward 3	256	6	—
Ward 4	507	—	—
Ward 5	317	—	—
Marlow	25	—	—
Nelson	63	1	1
Roxbury	19	—	—
Stoddard	79	2	—
Sullivan	53	—	2
Surry	67	4	—
Walpole	332	—	—
Westmoreland	148	—	—
Totals	2570	19	8

SENATE, DIST. NO. 10 DEMOCRATIC	Blaisdell, d	Bradshaw, r	Scatter
Alstead	28	—	—
Chesterfield	61	—	1
Gilsum	7	—	—
Keene—			
Ward 1	192	2	—
Ward 2	153	—	2
Ward 3	111	3	1
Ward 4	185	1	—
Ward 5	154	—	10
Marlow	13	—	1
Nelson	12	2	—
Roxbury	8	—	—
Stoddard	22	—	1
Sullivan	34	—	1
Surry	16	—	—
Walpole	93	—	—
Westmoreland	35	—	—
Totals	1124	8	17

SENATE, DIST. NO. 11 REPUBLICAN	Felton, r	Mann, r	Richardson, r	Whipple, r	MacFarlane, d	Scatter
Dublin	10	99	30	17	—	—
Fitzwilliam	5	36	12	178	—	—
Greenville	1	31	68	8	—	—
Hancock	7	172	36	8	—	—
Harrisville	10	34	9	13	—	2
Hinsdale	48	29	20	48	—	—
Jaffrey	9	151	85	102	—	—
Marlborough	20	58	25	57	—	—
Mason	2	12	51	3	—	—
New Ipswich	1	45	149	5	—	—
Peterborough	28	673	149	29	—	—
Richmond	19	9	7	33	—	—
Rindge	17	58	35	101	—	—
Sharon	—	29	15	1	—	—
Swanzey	172	56	38	89	—	—
Temple	—	54	77	7	—	—
Troy	7	13	4	46	—	—
Winchester	34	24	31	60	—	1
Totals	390	1583	841	805	—	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 11 DEMOCRATIC	MacFarlane, d	Felton, r	Mann, r	Richardson, r	Whipple, r	Scatter
Dublin	39	—	—	—	—	—
Fitzwilliam	15	—	1	—	4	—
Greenville	67	—	—	16	—	—
Hancock	35	—	—	—	—	—
Harrisville	24	—	—	—	—	—
Hinsdale	69	—	—	—	—	—
Jaffrey	65	—	—	—	—	—
Marlborough	42	—	—	—	—	—
Mason	16	—	—	—	—	—
New Ipswich	30	—	—	5	—	—
Peterborough	95	—	—	—	—	2
Richmond	9	—	—	—	2	—
Rindge	31	—	—	—	—	—
Sharon	3	—	—	—	—	—
Swanzey	104	—	—	—	3	1
Temple	9	—	—	—	—	—
Troy	62	1	1	—	3	—
Winchester	53	—	—	1	2	—
Totals	768	1	2	22	14	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 12 REPUBLICAN	Rock, r	Newson, d	Scatter
Amherst	607	2	6
Brookline	105	—	2
Hollis	344	—	—
Lyndeborough	94	—	4
Milford	560	—	—
Mont Vernon	84	—	5
Nashua —			
Ward 1	323	—	—
Ward 2	414	2	2
Wilton	188	—	1
Totals	2719	4	20

SENATE, DIST. NO. 12 DEMOCRATIC	Newson, d	Rock, r	Scatter
Amherst	101	1	—
Brookline	32	2	1
Hollis	82	2	—
Lyndeborough	14	1	—
Milford	211	8	—
Mont Vernon	22	—	—
Nashua —			
Ward 1	152	—	—
Ward 2	117	2	4
Wilton	66	—	—
Totals	797	16	5

SENATE, DIST. NO. 14 REPUBLICAN	Keeney, r	Rodgers, r	Claveau, d	Scatter
Hudson	314	222	—	3
Londonderry	207	273	—	—
Nashua —				
Ward 3	60	125	—	—
Ward 7	74	33	—	—
Totals	655	653	—	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 14 DEMOCRATIC	Claveau, d	Keeney, r	Rodgers, r	Scatter
Hudson	479	13	6	7
Londonderry	149	—	—	—
Nashua —				
Ward 3	184	—	—	—
Ward 7	341	—	—	—
Totals	1153	13	6	7

SENATE, DIST. NO. 15 REPUBLICAN	Kocher, r	Hancock, d	Scatter
Concord—			
Ward 1	305	1	1
Ward 2	496	—	—
Ward 3	352	8	1
Ward 4	260	5	1
Ward 5	193	—	—
Ward 6	199	5	—
Ward 7	525	—	—
Ward 8	585	—	—
Totals	2915	27	3

SENATE, DIST. NO. 15 DEMOCRATIC	Hancock, d	Kocher, r
Concord—		
Ward 1	125	—
Ward 2	159	—
Ward 3	117	—
Ward 4	148	—
Ward 5	102	—
Ward 6	141	—
Ward 7	196	—
Ward 8	177	—
Totals	1165	—